

Office of the General Counsel 2920 Kilgore Road Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

July 21, 2025

VIA EMAIL ONLY

Wei Shi aka Kevin Shi Emerald City Distribution emeraldcitywillits@gmail.com Yafeng Shi emailkevina@gmail.com

Re: Emerald City Distribution - Case No. DCC24-0002149-INV

Order Adopting Stipulated Settlement and Order as Final Decision

Dear Messrs. Shi:

Pursuant to section 11415.60 of the Government Code, attached please find a copy of the Department of Cannabis Control's Order Adopting Stipulated Settlement and Order as the Final Decision in the above-referenced matter involving Emerald City Distribution.

The Department's Order and Final Decision will be effective on July 21, 2025. Pursuant to this Final Decision and its stipulated settlement, Emerald City Distribution, has waived any right to reconsideration or appeal in this matter and to receive a copy of the Decision and Order via certified, registered, or first-class mail.

Sincerely,

Douglas Smurr

Assistant General Counsel

info@cannabis.ca.gov www.cannabis.ca.gov

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL	
9	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:) CASE NO. DCC24-0002149-INV	
12	EMERALD CITY DISTRIBUTION WEI SHI AKA KEVIN SHI, OWNER ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATED	
13	WEI SHI AKA KEVIN SHI, OWNER 300 E. Hill Road Willits, CA 95490 ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND ORDER AS FINAL DECISION	
14	Willis, CA 93490	
15	Cannabis – Distributor License No. C11-0000007-LIC	
16	Respondent.	
17	Respondent.)	
18	Pursuant to Government Code section 11415.60, the Department of Cannabis Control	
19	hereby adopts the attached Stipulated Settlement and Order as its Final Decision in this matter.	
20	This Order and Final Decision shall become effective on July 21, 2025.	
21	IT IS ORDERED, July 21, 2025.	
22		
23	1 28 0	
24	De la Commanda de la	
25	Douglass Smurr Assistant General Counsel	
26	FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL	
27		
28		

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY M. CRIBBS Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	JUSTIN T. BULLER Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 325265 1300 I Street		
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 210-7909 Facsimile: (916) 327-2319		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORI	E THE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CA STATE OF CA	ANNABIS CONTROL	
10	STATE OF CA	ALIFONNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. DCC24-0002149-INV	
12	EMERALD CITY DISTRIBUTION	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT FOR	
13	WEI SHI AKA KEVIN SHI, OWNER 300 E. Hill Road	REVOCATION OF LICENSE AND ORDER	
14	Willits, CA 95490		
15 16	Cannabis – Distributor License No. C11-0000007-LIC		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGRI	EED by and between the parties to the above-	
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	true:	
21	PARTIES		
22	Petitioner Evelyn Schaeffer (Complainant), Deputy Director of the Compliance		
23	Division of the Department of Cannabis Control (Department), brought this action solely in her		
24	official capacity and is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of		
25	California, by Deputy Attorney General Justin T. Buller.		
26	2. Respondent Emerald City Distribution (Respondent) with Wei Shi aka Kevin Shi,		
27	Owner (Owner Shi) is representing itself in this matter and Respondent is acting in this		
28	1		

27

28

8. Respondent and Owner Shi have carefully read and fully understand the charges and allegations in Accusation No. DCC24-0002149-INV. Respondent and Owner Shi have also

carefully read and fully understand the effects of this Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order.

- 9. Respondent and Owner Shi are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to be represented by counsel at their own expense, the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in Accusation No. DCC24-0002149-INV; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 10. Respondent and Owner Shi are fully aware of their legal right to receive a copy of the Decision and Order via certified, registered, or first-class mail. Respondent and Owner Shi agree to receive a copy of the Decision and Order in this matter via email at the following email addresses: emeraldcitywillits@gmail.com
- 11. Respondent and Owner Shi voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waive and give up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 12. Respondent and Owner Shi understand and agree that the allegations contained in Accusation No. DCC24-0002149-INV, if proven at hearing, constitute cause for discipline upon Cannabis Distributor License Number C11-0000007-LIC.
- 13. For the purpose of resolving this action without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent and Owner Shi agree that, at a hearing, the Department could establish a factual basis for the findings in Accusation No. DCC24-0002149-INV, and that Respondent and Owner Shi hereby give up their right to contest those charges.
- 14. Respondent and Owner Shi agree that its Cannabis Distributor License Number C11-0000007-LIC is subject to discipline and they agree to be bound by the imposition of discipline as set forth in the Order below.
- 15. Respondent and Owner Shi understand that by signing this stipulation it enables the Department to issue a Decision and Order, accepting the revocation of its Cannabis Distributor

License Number C11-0000007-LIC, without further notice to, or opportunity to be heard by, Respondent or Owner Shi.

CONTINGENCY

- Owner Shi understand and agree that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Department may communicate directly with the Department regarding this stipulation and revocation, without notice to or participation by Respondent and Owner Shi. By signing the stipulation, Respondent and Owner Shi understand and agree that it may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Department considers and acts upon it. If the Department fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 17. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 18. This Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 19. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Department may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Cannabis – Distributor License No. C11-0000007-LIC, issued to Respondent Emerald City Distribution with Wei Shi aka Kevin Shi as its owner, is revoked.

- 1. **WAIVER OF APPEAL.** Any appeal of, or right to an administrative hearing related to, Accusation Number DCC23-0001432-INV is waived.
- 2. <u>LICENSE REVOCATION.</u> Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a licensed Cannabis distributor in California as of the effective date of the Decision and Order. The revocation of Respondent's distributor license shall constitute the imposition of discipline against the Respondent and shall become part of Respondent's license history with the Department.
- 3. **REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE.** If Respondent ever applies for reinstatement of its license or a new commercial cannabis license or ownership interest in any commercial cannabis license, Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Case No. DCC24-0002149-INV shall be deemed to be true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent when the Department determines whether to grant or deny the application.
- 4. **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF ENFORCEMENT.** If Respondent or Owner Shi applies for reinstatement of its license or applies for a new commercial cannabis license or new ownership interest in any commercial cannabis license, Respondent or Owner Shi shall pay the Department's costs of enforcement for Case No. DCC24-0002149-INV, in the amount of \$14,778.75 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on Cannabis - Distributor License Number C11-000007-LIC. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Department of Cannabis Control.

1	Dated:	07/03/2025	
2	_		EMERALD CITY DISTRIBUTION
3			WEI SHI AKA KEVIN SHI, OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE
4			Respondent
5	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>		
6			
8			
9		07/02/2025	
10	Dated: _	07/03/2025	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
11			GREGORY M. CRIBBS Supervising Deputy Attorney General
12			Questin Buller
13			JUSTIN T. BULLER
14			Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
15			
16 17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			6

19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
3	1	Exhibit A
4	2	Accusation No. DCC24-0002149-INV
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7	3	
6 7 8 9 9 110 111 112 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7	4	
7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7	5	
8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7	6	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7	7	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	8	
11	9	
12	10	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	11	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	12	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	13	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	15	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	16	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	17	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	18	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	19	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	20	
23 24 25 26 27 28	21	
 24 25 26 27 28 7 	22	
 25 26 27 28 7 	23	
 26 27 28 7 	24	
27 28	25	
28	26	
7	27	
	28	
BELLEVITATED REVOCATION OF LICENSE AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER ICASE NO DICC /4-000/149-IN		7 STIPULATED REVOCATION OF LICENSE AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER (Case No. DCC24-0002149-INV)

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California HARINDER K. KAPUR		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General GREGORY M. CRIBBS Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
4	JUSTIN BULLER Deputy Attorney General		
5	State Bar No. 325265 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
6	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
7	Telephone: (916) 210-7909 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
8	E-mail: Justin.Buller@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL		
11	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. DCC24-0002149-INV	
14	EMERALD CITY DISTRIBUTION	ACCUSATION	
15	WEI SHI AKA KEVIN SHI, OWNER 300 E. Hill Road		
16	Willits, CA 95490		
17 18	Cannabis – Distribution License Number C11-0000007-LIC		
19	PAR'	<u>ΓΙΕS</u>	
20	Evelyn Schaeffer (Complainant) brin	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
21	as the Deputy Director of the Compliance Division	on of the Department of Cannabis Control	
22	(Department).		
23	2. On or about April 11, 2019, the Department issued Cannabis - Distributor License		
24	Number C11-0000007-LIC to Respondent Emerald City Distribution ("Respondent") with Wei		
25	Shi aka Kevin Shi as Owner ("Owner"). From the date of issuance to present, Respondent's		
26	licensed premises address has been 300 E Hill Road, Willits, CA 95490 ("Premises"). The		
27	Cannabis – Distribution License was in full force	and effect at all times relevant to the charges	
28	brought herein.		
		1	

- (4) Areas storing a surveillance-system storage device with at least one camera recording the access points to the secured surveillance recording area; and
- (5) Entrances and exits to the licensed premises, which shall be recorded from both indoor and outdoor vantage points.
- (e) Licensed retailers and licensed microbusinesses authorized to engage in retail sales shall also record point-of-sale areas and areas where cannabis goods are displayed for sale on the video surveillance system. At each point-of-sale location, camera placement must allow for the recording of the facial features of any person purchasing or selling cannabis goods, or any person in the retail area, with sufficient clarity to determine identity.
- (f) Cameras shall record continuously 24 hours per day and at a minimum of 15 frames per second (FPS).
- (g) The physical media or storage device on which surveillance recordings are stored shall be secured in a manner to protect the recording from tampering or theft.
 - (h) Surveillance recordings shall be kept for a minimum of 90 calendar days.
- (i) Surveillance recordings are subject to inspection by the Department and shall be kept in a manner that allows the Department to view and obtain copies of the recordings at the licensed premises immediately upon request. The licensee shall also send or otherwise provide copies of the recordings to the Department upon request within the time specified by the Department.
- (j) Recorded images shall clearly and accurately display the time and date. Time is to be measured in accordance with the standards issued by the United States National Institute of Standards and Technology. The displayed date and time shall not cover the view of recorded images in a manner that prevents the ready identification of any person or activity in the captured image.
- (k) The video surveillance system shall be equipped with a failure notification system that provides notification to the licensee of any interruption or failure of the video surveillance system or video surveillance-system storage device.
- (l) If multiple licensed premises are contained within the same building or on the same parcel of land, a single video surveillance system covering the entire building or parcel of land may be used by all of the licensees if all licensees have immediate access to the surveillance recordings to produce them pursuant to subsection (i). All licensees sharing a video surveillance system shall be held responsible and subject to discipline for any violations of the video surveillance requirements.
- (m) Notwithstanding subsection (a), a licensed distributor transport only licensee engaged in self-distribution whose premises is on the same parcel of land as

their licensed cultivation premises shall not be required to comply with the provisions of this section.

14. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15046 states:

A licensee shall ensure that all limited-access areas can be securely locked using commercial-grade, nonresidential door locks. A licensee shall also use commercial-grade, nonresidential door locks on all points of entry and exit to the licensed premises. This requirement does not apply to a licensed premises authorized exclusively for cultivation activities or the cultivation area of a licensed microbusiness premises.

- 15. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15047 states:
- (a) A licensee shall maintain an alarm system as defined in Business and Professions Code section 7590.1(c) at the licensed premises. This requirement does not apply to a licensed premises authorized exclusively for cultivation activities or the cultivation area of a licensed microbusiness premises.
- (b) A licensee shall ensure a licensed alarm company operator or one or more of its registered alarm agents installs, maintains, monitors, and responds to the alarm system.
- (c) Upon request, a licensee shall make available to the Department all information related to the alarm system, monitoring, and alarm activity.
- (d) If multiple licensed premises are contained within the same building or parcel of land, a single alarm system covering the entire building or parcel of land may be used by all of the licensees if all licensees have access to and are able to provide the information under subsection (c). All licensees shall be held responsible and subject to discipline for any violations of the alarm system requirements.
- 16. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15047.2 states:
- (a) A licensee shall create and maintain an account within the track and trace system prior to engaging in any commercial cannabis activity.
- (b) All commercial cannabis activity shall be accurately recorded in the track and trace system.
- (c) A licensee is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of all data and information entered into the track and trace system. The licensee is responsible for all actions taken by the designated account manager or other account users while performing track and trace activities.
- (d) A person shall not intentionally misrepresent or falsify information entered into the track and trace system.
- 17. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15048.1 states, in part:

[
1	(A) The name of the employee performing the destruction or disposal;
2	(B) The reason for destruction or disposal; and
3	(C) The method of disposal.
4	(d) If a package adjustment is used to adjust the quantity of cannabis or
5	cannabis products in the track and trace system, the licensee shall include a description explaining the reason for adjustment.
6 7	(e) If a licensee rejects a partial shipment of cannabis goods pursuant to section 15052.1 (b), the licensee shall record the partial rejection in the track and trace system.
8	COST RECOVERY
9	19. Section 26031.1 of the Code states that:
10	(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in an order issued in resolution of a
11	disciplinary proceeding before the department, the administrative law judge, upon request, may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation to pay a sum not to
12	exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
13	(b) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the department or its designated
14 15	representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges
16	imposed by the Attorney General.
17	(c) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to
18	costs shall not be reviewable by the department to increase the cost award. The
19	department may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant
20	to subdivision (a).
21	(d) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the department's decision, the department may enforce the order for
22	repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the department may have as to any licensee to pay costs.
23	
24	(e) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the department's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
25	(f)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the department shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered
26	under this section.
27	(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the department may, in its discretion,

conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the department to reimburse the department within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.

- (g) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited into the Cannabis Control Fund to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.
- (h) Nothing in this section shall preclude the department from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 20. On September 10, 2024, Special Investigator ("SI") Howton sent a Notice to Inspect ("NOI") to the listed owner, Wei Shi, also known as Kevin ("Kevin") at the e-mail address listed in the Department's licensing system and informed him that the Department would be conducting a compliance inspection on September 16, 2024. That same day, using the California Cannabis Track and Trace system ("CCTT") and the Cannabis Licensing System ("CLS"), SI Howton verified the active status of Respondent's license before conducting an electronic audit of Respondent's CCTT activity. The electronic audit consisted of viewing incoming and outgoing transfers, checking the active inventory and authorized METRC users, and running a Package Adjustment Report ("PAR"). When reviewing the PAR, SI Howton noted that "Theft" was listed for several thousand items which had been adjusted out of the CCTT in March 2024. The Department was unable to locate any evidence that the theft had been reported to the Department, as required per regulations.
- 21. On September 13, 2024, SI Howton reviewed Respondent's CCTT activity that had been reported since September 10, 2024, and found eight outgoing transfers of cannabis, and all adjustments and deliveries had been made by Respondent's employee, R.S.
- 22. On September 16, 2024, SIs Russell Poag ("SI Poag") and Howton arrived at the entrance to Respondent's Premises to conduct a compliance inspection. They contacted Kevin and informed him that they had arrived. SI Poag and SI Howton were met by Brandi Carlile ("Carlile"), manager of Emerald City, who opened the gate, allowing access to the Premises. Carlile stated "nothing had changed since the last time DCC was here. We're not operational. We

don't even have power," or words to that effect. Carlile indicated that the power had been out for approximately two (2) years.

- 23. SI Poag and SI Howton confirmed that there was no power. SI Howton noted some of the security lighting was working and and was informed by Carlile that the Premises had a solar bank on the roof.
- 24. SI Poag and SI Howton then entered the area indicated on the submitted premises diagram as the location of the Distribution License within the building. SI Howton observed some shelves within the open floor plan but did not see any of the 121 active cannabis packages listed in the CCTT system. SI Howton asked Carlile about the packages, to which she said, "I told you we haven't done anything in a while." SI Howton followed up by asking how often they perform an inventory reconciliation. Carlile said they don't need to do it because they "have no product."
- 25. When asked about the PAR and the adjustments that occurred on March 10, 2024, with a listed note of "Theft." Carlile said, "We've had a few break-ins here. The last time they broke in, they kicked in all the doors." When asked when the last time a break-in occurred. Carlile said, "About a month or so ago." Carlile further stated, "It took the police about 20 minutes to get here, and there were hostages, and everything." SI Howton asked, "Do you know if anyone reported it to the DCC?" Carlile was unable to answer the question, and again referred SI Howton to Kevin.
- 26. SI Howton then informed Carlile that 83 manifests had not been accepted in CCTT by Respondent within 24 hours of being delivered. Carlile stated that she was aware that manifests were required to be accepted within 24 hours of delivery, but that no deliveries had been made to Respondent. Carlile indicated that she did not know why the CCTT system would show any manifests as not being received.
- 27. SI Howton then asked, "If you're not operational and haven't been for a while, why were package tags ordered in July?" Carlile said she didn't know. SI Howton pointed out that the order shows Brandi Carlile as the contact person, but she said she didn't "know why package tags were ordered because obviously," and then gestured to the empty premises.

- 28. Shortly after this, Carlile called Kevin set the phone to speaker, and SI Howton and SI Poag introduced themselves. After a short discussion about the current Premises diagram, SI Howton asked about the break-ins and Kevin stated there had been a few. When asked if the break-ins had been reported to the Department Kevin said, "No, but the police were here."
- 29. SI Poag and SI Howton then looked at the proposed nursery portion of the Premises before stepping back into the distribution area. SI Howton asked about the location of the storage unit for the video surveillance system and if proof of the 90-day retention period could be provided. Carlile directed SI Poag and SI Howton to the "Vault Product Storage" room as marked on the submitted Premises diagram. When they went to enter, Carlile said, "Let me unlock it, oh wait, this door was kicked in too, so I don't even know if the lock works." SI Howton had Carlile ensure the door was closed and locked. SI Howton then pushed on the upper part of the door with the palm of his hand. It opened with little force, even with the locking mechanism engaged. SI Howton asked Carlile if all the doors were like this, and she said, "Well they kicked in all the doors, so I think so."
- 30. After observing the server rackmount within the space, it appeared the system was not physically connected to the storage unit. SI Howton asked if Carlile could provide proof of the required 90-day retention period on the video surveillance system. SI Howton asked if Carlile could possibly bring any of the surveillance recordings up on her phone, but she stated she was unable to but "Kevin may be able to since he added in the other cameras. He might have a way to access them."
- 31. SI Howton and SI Poag proceeded back to the main entrance of the Premises and SI Howton noted a scale on one of the tables near the main entrance. SI Howton checked the County Seal affixed to it and noted it was a 2021 Seal, and therefore expired. SI Howton asked about the sign-in / sign-out sheet that was supposed to be in the main entrance area. Carlile was able to produce it. There was only one sheet attached, and the last date anyone had signed in was November 15, without a year indicated. Carlile confirmed that it was in 2023.

27 | ///

28 | ///

- 32. SI Howton explained to Carlile all the violations that had been noted, as well as the follow up procedures, including sending Kevin a Dropbox link to supply videos of the theft that had recently occurred and proof of the 90-day retention period on the video surveillance system.
- 33. The following day, on September 17, 2024, SI Howton sent an email to Kevin, using the contact information provided to the Department, requesting records of all thefts that occurred on the property, including completion of DCC-LIC-028 forms; dates, times, list of inventory stolen with UIDs; name of the responding law enforcement agency; the report number of the incident; and any video that Respondent had concerning the thefts. SI Howton also requested other surveillance recordings for various dates. Within the body of the e-mail, SI Howton provided a Dropbox link for Kevin to upload the information to and gave a deadline of September 27, 2024.
- 34. On September 25, 2024, SI Howton received an e-mail from Kevin's listed email address, which stated that the licensed premises had been without power for a year, and they were unable to pull any data from the security cameras. The only thing Respondent had received were notifications from the camera system.
- 35. SI Howton responded to the email on October 1, 2024, changing his records request from videos to the notifications received. SI Howton also reiterated to Kevin that Respondent needed to provide a DCC-LIC-028 form for the incidents that occurred on the property. DCC has received no further response from Kevin or Respondent.
- 36. On December 11, 2024, SI Howton reviewed a report on CCTT for the incoming and outgoing transfers for Respondent which showed there had been seven (7) Incoming Transfers and five (5) Outgoing Transfers from September 16, 2024, to December 11, 2024. The outgoing transfers occurred as recently as December 6, 2024.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Notify Department within 24 hours of a Theft, Loss, or Criminal Activity)

37. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), for violations of California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15036, for failing to notify the Department within 24 hours of a theft, loss, and criminal activity, as more particularly

alleged in paragraphs 20 through 36 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Video Surveillance System on Premises)

38. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), for violations of California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15048.1, subdivision (a)(8) and 15044, for failure to maintain a video surveillance system on the licensed premises, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 20 through 36, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Reconcile Physical Inventory and Inventory Recorded on CCTT)

39. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that it failed to comply with the provisions the California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15047.2, subdivisions (b) and (c), 15048.1, and 15049, which requires that all cannabis and cannabis products on a licensed premises be entered on accurately on Respondent's CCTT account, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 20 through 36, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Locks on Doors in the Licensed Premises)

40. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that it failed to comply with the California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15046, by failing to maintain locked doors in the licensed premises, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 20 through 36 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Alarm System)

41. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that it failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 4,

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case Name: In the Matter of the Accusation Against: EMERALD CITY DISTRIBUTION

DCC Case No. DCC24-0002149-INV License Number: C11-0000007-LIC

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Department of Cannabis Control, 2920 Kilgore Road, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. On July 21, 2025, I served the within documents:

ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND ORDER AS FINAL DECISION

document(s) to be sent receive, within a reason	RANSMISSION. Pursuant to CC to the person(s) at the Email addinable time after the transmission, smission was unsuccessful.	ress(es) listed below. I did not
our ordinary business p States Postal Service to	lection and mailing following aitting mail through the United sted below. following business day.	
Wei Shi aka Kevin Shi Emerald City Distribution emeraldcitywillits@gmail.com	Yafeng Shi emailkevina@gmail.com	Harinder Kapur Assistant Attorney General Cannabis Control Section Office of Attorney General harinder.kapur@doi.ca.gov

I am familiar with the Department's business practices for collecting and transmitting mail through the United States Postal Service. In accordance with those practices, correspondence placed in the Department's internal mail collection system is, in the ordinary course of business, deposited in the United States Postal Service, with postage paid, on the same day I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, and the United States of America, that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 21, 2025, at Rancho Cordova, California.

Christina C. Ubaldo